



\$~O-16

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 845/2024 and I.A. 42959/2024, I.A. 48471/2024, I.A. 48473/2024, I.A. 12099/2025

DR SHAMA MOHAMED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Eesha Bakahi and Ms. Gurbani Bhatia, Advs.

versus

SMT SANJU VERMA AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Raghav Awasthi, Ms. Simran Brar, and Ms. Tanya Lal, Advs for D-1.

Mr. Mrinal Bharti and Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advs for D-2.

Mr. Deepak Gogia, Mr. Aadhar Nautiyal and Ms. Shivangi Kohli, Advs for D-3.

Mr. Neel Mason, Ms. Ekta Sharma, Ms. Pragya Jain and Ms. Surabhi Katare, Ads for D-4.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

%

**08.07.2025**

1. This matter was listed before the Court earlier on 13.05.2025 and the Court had passed the following order:

**“I.A 12099/2025 (filed on behalf of defendant No.1 for closing the right of the plaintiff to file replication)”**

*1. Let this application be listed before the concerned Joint Registrar for consideration on 22.05.2025.*



**CS(OS) 845/2024, I.A. 42959/2024, I.A. 48473/2024 & I.A. 48471/2024**

2. *Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff submits that the reply to I.A. 48471/2024 has been filed only on yesterday. However, the same is not on record.*
3. *Let the same be placed in the digital record of the Court.*
4. *She, however, prays for time to file a reply to I.A. 48473/2024.*
5. *Learned counsel appearing for defendant No.1, on the other hand, contends that on 17.12.2024, notice on the aforesaid application was issued to the plaintiff. Despite sufficient time a reply has not been filed, therefore, the plaintiff's right to file a reply be forfeited.*
6. *The Court reluctantly grants the last opportunity to the plaintiff to file a reply within two weeks. If the reply is not filed within two weeks, the same shall not be accepted by the Registry.*
7. *List this matter on 08.07.2025.*
8. *On the next date of hearing, the parties shall be at liberty to carry their written submissions along with the relevant decisions."*

2. Today when the matter is called out for hearing, learned counsel for the plaintiff points out that the matter is listed before the Registrar on 22.08.2025.
3. The Court fails to understand as to how the aforesaid date would have any relevance when the Court had specifically directed for listing of this matter before the Court for consideration of the pending applications.
4. Learned counsel of the plaintiff, however, tenders an apology and submits that on account of some misunderstanding she could not prepare the matter.
5. In view of the aforesaid, hearing of I.A. 48471/2024 and I.A. 48473/2024 stands adjourned till 06.08.2025.



6. Further, the Court deems it appropriate to direct for imposition of cost. The cost of Rs. 5000/- shall be paid by learned counsel for plaintiff to the defendant no.1 before the next date of hearing.

**PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J**

**JULY 8, 2025**

aks/mj

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*