



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____/2026
[@ SLP [CRL.] NO.14227/2026]

SANJAY SAINI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant seeks anticipatory bail in connection with FIR No.53/2026, dated 26.01.2026, registered with Police Station - City Sohana, District - Gurugram, Haryana, for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 351(2) and 64(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellants submits that pursuant to our order dated 07.08.2026, the appellant has joined the investigation before the investigating

authorities. It is a case of a consensual relationship turning sour between the parties. The respondent No.2/complainant despite having been served, has chosen not to appear before this Court. It is further submitted that she is a married lady, with children.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail and submitted that looking to the nature of the allegations, there is no need for interference with the impugned order.

Prima facie, we are of the opinion, that it is a case of a relationship turning sour. Suffice it is to state, that the custodial interrogation of the appellant is not required, particularly, when the appellant has joined the investigation. We have also taken note of the fact that despite being served, the complainant has not chosen to appear before this Court to oppose the present appeal.

Considering the aforesaid circumstances, we are inclined to set aside the impugned order and grant anticipatory bail to the appellant.

Accordingly, the impugned order stands set aside and the appellant is granted anticipatory bail on such terms and conditions subject to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court.

The appeal stands allowed, accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.
[PRASANNA B. VARALE]

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 25, 2026.

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.4

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 14227/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 16-07-2026 in CRM-M No. 21820/2026 passed
by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at
Chandigarh]

SANJAY SAINI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 25-09-2026 This petition was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Divya Roy, AOR
Ms. Deveshi Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Sneha Masani, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhinav Bajaj, A.A.G.
Mr. Rahul Khurana, AOR
Mr. Saksham Ojha, Adv.
Ms. Geetashi Chandna, Adv.
Ms. Bhavya Singla, Adv.
Ms. Kriti, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant is granted anticipatory bail on terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]